NRO REVIEW COMPLETED

SECRET

COR - 0796

31 March 1960

25X1

25X1

MORRANUM FOR : Deputy Director (Plens) Acting Chief, TED

THROUGH

1. The following is information relevant to our forthcoming discussion 2. The Air Force is firming up in support of a COROTA 1961 program of SUBJECT on the above subject.

at least six new CORORA shots (in addition to any spillovers). 3. The less time required to build a modified "C" prime camera is no

4. Our experience with Eastman, ITEK and Fairchild tells us that only of them should be given the whole toh of designing building and testing one of them should be given the whole job of designing, building and testing less than 11 - 12 months. might

be used to criticise designs; for security reasons it is not desirable to bring a fourth organisation in for this purpose. Or to engage in any designs, be used to criticise designs, for security reasons it is not desirable to bring a fourth organisation in for this purpose, or to engage in any design the camera to be used.

competition.

6. All concerned agree that changes made in the "C" camera should be arminor" changes directed at concerned agree that changes made in the "C" camera should be attached at "C" 7. There are four alternative courses to be followed in developing the a definition by

1961 camera towards reliability and greater capability:

I know of no support for this course of action. All feel that a. Cerry on with the C Camera:

improvements are possible.

b. Adopt the I'm "C" Design with modifications: LASD supports this course of action from both the standpoints of lead time requirements and quality of design. (attached) indicates the lead time standpoint.

modifications that might be needed. If this is the visest course our should he subject to a further than he described the subject to a further than t lead time standpoint.

approval should be subject to a further item by item evaluation of approval amount of subject to a further from by from evaluation to the saventages to be gained through each change, such evaluation to he made mossibly by an independent order. SECRET 6 Copies be made possibly by an independent group.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/09/03: CIA-RDP63-00313A000600140073-1

COR - 0796 Page 2

c. Give ITEK go-ahead (to avoid loss of lead time) and simultaneously ask for proposals from Eastman and Fairchild:	NRO
IMED tells that approximately will be needed to finance ITEK for the first month, 15 April - 15 May. Restman and Fairchild can prepare and submit their proposals by 22 April. A selection from among the three can be made before 15 May. d. Give ITEK go-ahead (as in (b) above) and obtain design proposals from Eastman and Fairchild for a "back-up" camera for late 1961 and 19	25)
If ITEK is given go-ahead on "C" camera all of our SAMOS (B-5) and CORONA recoverable camera eggs will be in one basket. At least feels that a back up camera from another source should be available against disaster contingencies, corporate or otherwise.	

SIGNED Chief, Development Branch DPD-DD/P

25X1

25X1

Attachment: As noted

25X1

25X1

CH/DB/DPD-DD/P:

Distribution:

Copy 1 - Addressee w/att.

2 - AC/DPD w/o att.

3 - DB/DPD w/o att.

4-5 - CH/DB/DPD w/att.

6 - RI/DPD w/o att.

6 Copy of 6 Copies

